UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,404	08/12/2003	Nachman Eckstein	26253	5156
7590 04/10/2007 Martin D. Moynihan			EXAMINER	
PRTSI, Inc.			GILBERT, ANDREW M	
P. O. Box 16446 Arlington, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NÚMBER
			3767	-
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAVS		04/10/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/638,404	ECKSTEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew M. Gilbert	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 £</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-19,21 and 22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-19,21 and 22 are subject to res  Application Papers	awn from consideration. striction and/or election requ	uirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/638,404 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Acknowledgements**

- 1. This office action is in response to the reply filed on 12/29/2006.
- 2. In the reply, the Applicant amended claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6-8, 10-11, 16-19; cancelled claim 20; and added new claims 21-22.
- 3. The Applicant filed formal drawing to obviate the previous objection to the drawings.
- 4. The Examiner previously indicated claims 19 and 20 as being allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. However, the Applicant cancelled claim 20 and did not amend 19 to include all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Thus, the previous indication is moot.
- 5. The Applicant's amendment of claim 19 into independent format not required the subject matter of independent claim 1 sufficiently broadens the scope of the claim so as to require an election/restriction before continuation of prosecution. The newly claimed subject matter it outside of the scope of the invention searched in the previous office action.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-19, 21-22 are pending for examination.

## Election/Restrictions

- 7. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-3, 5-18, drawn to a motorized syringe having a housing having a first section having an expansible-contractible chamber, and a second

Application/Control Number: 10/638,404

Art Unit: 3767

section having an electrical drive motor of the drive system, the first and second sections being interconnected at their ends, and a power supply separate from the housing, classified in class 604, subclass 153.

Page 3

II. Claims 19, 21-22, drawn to a method of delivering a drug preparation into a subject's body comprising introducing to a vagina of a female a motorized syringe containing a semen preparation in an expansible-contractible chamber, introducing a flexible catheter tube connect to said syringe, and energizing said motorized syringe to deliver said semen preparation to the uterus at a slow rate for a prolonged period of time measured in hours, classified in class 604, subclass 515.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 8. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as multiple-injections into any area of the human body.
- 9. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew M. Gilbert whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/638,404

Art Unit: 3767

272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday

through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Gilbert

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Musi C. Sumon